

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,369	05/15/2006	Noboru Ichinose	PKHF-04053US	9867
21254 MCGINN INT	7590 07/01/201 TELLECTITAL PROPE	0 RTY LAW GROUP, PLLC	EXAM	MINER
	URTHOUSE ROAD	arr arm oncor, rade	SALERNO, S	ARAH KATE
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567 369 ICHINOSE ET AL

	10/001,000	TOTAL COLLETTIES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SARAH K. SALERNO	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SSI (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the size or restneded period for reply will by statute.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communicati ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).) cate of this communication, even if timely hi	ad, may reduce any				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 March 2010</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,4,6,9-13,15 and 21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,6,9-13,15 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received.	tion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		red.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summar Paper No(a) Mail					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail 6) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/10.	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's amendment/arguments filed on 03/18/10 as being acknowledged and entered. By this amendment claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 14, and 16-20 are canceled, no claims have been added, claims 1, 6, 9-13, 15, and 21 are pending and no claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kryliouk (US Patent 6,350,666) in view of Ichinose et al. (EP 1367657A2)
 - Claim 1: Kryliouk teaches a semiconductor layer, comprising:
- a first layer (substrate) comprising a single crystal substrate; and a second layer (nitrided layer) comprising a nitride surface of said first layer containing oxygen and nitrogen (Col. 3 lines 20-40; Col. 11-12).

Kryliouk does not teach the single crystal substrate being of a Ga₂O₃ system. Ichinose teaches using a substrate comprising a Ga₂O₃ system for use in light emitting devices. The selection of something based on its known suitability for its intended use has been held to support a *prima facie* case of obviousness. *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369
Art Unit: 2814

substituted the single crystal oxide substrate taught by Kryliouk with single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 substrate because of its known use in light emitting devices as taught by Ichinose [0015].

Claim 4: Ichinose teaches the first layer comprises Ga_2O_3 , $(In_xGa_{l-x})_2O_3$ where $0 \le x < I$, $(Al_xGa_{l-x})_2O_3$ where $0 \le x < I$, $(In_xAl_yGa_{1-x-y})_2O_3$ where $0 \le x < I$, $0 \le y < I$, and $0 \le x + y < I$, or the like, as a main constituent [0015].

Claim 6: Kryliouk teaches a semiconductor layer, comprising:

a first layer (substrate) comprising a single crystal substrate; and a second layer (nitrided layer) comprising a nitride surface of said first layer containing oxygen and nitrogen (Col. 3 lines 20-40; Col. 11-12).

a third layer comprising a GaN system epitaxial layer grown on the second layer (Fig. 1b).

Kryliouk does not teach the single crystal substrate being of a Ga_2O_3 system. Ichinose teaches using a substrate comprising a Ga_2O_3 system for use in light emitting devices. The selection of something based on its known suitability for its intended use has been held to support a *prima facie* case of obviousness. *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the single crystal oxide substrate taught by Kryliouk with single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 substrate because of its known use in light emitting devices as taught by Ichinose [0015].

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369

Art Unit: 2814

Claim 9: Ichinose teaches the first layer consists of a single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 [0015].

Claim 10: Ichinose teaches the single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 has a prismatic shape having a square in cross section, and its axis direction matches a-axis 100> orientation, b-axis 010> orientation or c-axis 001> orientation [0038-0039].

Claim 11: Kryliouk teaches the first layer comprises (lnxGal-x) $_2O_3$ where 0 < x <1 (Col. 4).

Claim 12: Kryliouk teaches the first layer comprises (AlxGal-x) $_2O_3$ where 0 < x <1 (Col. 4).

Claim 13: Kryliouk teaches the first layer comprises $(\ln xAlyGa1-x-y)_2O_3$ where 0 < x < 1, 0 < y 1<, and <math>0 < x + y < 1 (Col. 4).

Claim 15: Ichinose teaches the first layer consists of single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 [0015].

Claim 21: Kryliouk teaches a semiconductor layer, comprising:

a first layer (substrate) comprising a single crystal substrate; and a second layer (nitrided layer) comprising a nitride surface of said first layer which contains oxygen and nitrogen (Col. 3 lines 20-40; Col. 11-12).

wherein the second layer comprises a GaN compound semiconductor (Fig. 1b).

Kryliouk does not teach the single crystal substrate being of a Ga_2O_3 system. Ichinose teaches using a substrate comprising a Ga_2O_3 system for use in light emitting devices. The selection of something based on its known suitability for its intended use has been held to support a prima facie case of obviousness. Sinclair & Carroll Co. v.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the single crystal oxide substrate taught by Kryliouk with single crystal β - Ga_2O_3 substrate because of its known use in light emitting devices as taught by Ichinose [0015].

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 6, 9-13, 15 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to whose telephone number is (571)270-1266. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:00-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,369 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wael M Fahmy/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2814

/S. K. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2814